## IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT Phoha et al EXAMINER: Chojnacki, M

**SERIAL NO.:** 10/073,453 **ART UNIT:** 2164

**FILING DATE:** February 11, 2001 **DOCKET NO:** 16808/95137-00

**TITLE:** A Method of Allocation of Web Pages Using Neural Networks

## Mail Stop AMENDMENTS

Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Sir:

In the Office Action mailed on August 18, 2006, claims 1-15 are pending. The examiner has indicated that claims 4-8 are allowed if re-written to include all the limitations for which the dependent claims depends, and claims 1-2 and 9-15 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(e) on the basis of Sullivan et al. ("Sullivan"). Applicant is amending the claims as follows:

Claim 1 has been amended to include all limitations of claim 4 and the claims on which claim 4 depended. Claim 1 hence corresponds to allowed claim 4 with all limitations. Claims 2-4 have been canceled. Claim 5 has been amended to claim dependency on newly amended claim 1. Claims 6, 7 and 8 have been redrafted to include all limitations on which the depended claim depends, and as indicated by the examiner, are hence allowable. Claim 10 has been amended to include all limitations of allowed claim 6; claims 14 has been amended to include all limitations of allowed claim 1, claim 15 has been amended to include all limitations of allowed claim 6; and claims 16 and 17 has been added and includes all limitations from allowed claims 7 and 8 respectfully. Applicant hence believes all remaining claims are allowable.